Appl. No. 10/612,104 Atty. Docket No. 7490MC Amdt. dated February 10, 2005 Reply to Office Action of February 1, 2005 Customer No. 27752

REMARKS

Claims 1-10 are pending in the present application. No claims fee is due.

The Specification has been amended to delete the figures on pages 32-35 and formal drawings are submitted herewith in replacement thereof. A description of the formal drawings, described as Figures 1-12, is added at the bottom of page 5.

Claims 9 and 10 have been amended to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the present invention.

Sequence Listing under 37 CFR §§1.821-1.825

Applicants are submitting with this amendment both a per copy and a CRF copy of a sequence listing which includes the two tetra-peptide sequences disclosed in the present application. In addition, the statement required under 37 CFR §1.821(f) is submitted herewith.

Formal Drawings under 37 CFR §1.81

Applicants are submitting with this amendment copies of formal drawings listed as Figures 1-12 and described in the amendments to the Specification herein above.

Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

The Examiner has provisionally rejected the present Application under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claim 10 of U.S. 6,613,874. Applicants are submitting herewith a Terminal Disclaimer under CFR § 3.73(b) over U.S. 6,613,874.

Rejection under 35 USC §112

The Examiner has rejected Claims 9 and 10 under 35 USC §112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a sway as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Appl. No. 10/612,104

Atty. Docket No. 7490MC Amdt. dated February 10, 2005

Reply to Office Action of February 1, 2005

Customer No. 27752

The submission herewith of Formal Drawings and the accompanying description

of said Formal Drawings now in the specification obviates the Examiner's rejection of

Claims 9 and 10 under 35 USC §112, first paragraph.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 9 and 10 under 35 USC §112, second

paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and to distinctly claim

the subject matter of the present invention. The amendments herein to Claims 9 and 10

obviate the Examiner's rejection.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection to Claims under 35 USC § 112 is

therefore respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

Applicants have made an earnest effort to place the present claims in condition for

allowance. WHEREFORE, entry of the amendments provided herewith, reconsideration of the

claims as amended in light of the Remarks provided, withdrawal of the claims rejections, and

allowance of Claims 1-10, as amended, are respectfully requested. In the event that issues remain

prior to allowance of the noted claims, then the Examiner is invited to call Applicants'

undersigned agent to discuss any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,

THE PROOFER & GAMBLE COMPANY

 $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{V}}$

Signature

Richard S. Echler

Typed or Printed Name

Registration No. 41,006

(513) 622-1973

Date: February (6, 2005 Customer No. 27752

Page 28 of 28

Appl. No. 10/612,104 Atty. Docket No. 7490MC Amdt. dated February 10, 2005 Reply to Office Action of February 1, 2005 Customer No. 27752

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

Attached herein are drawings 1-6 which correspond to Figures 1-12.